

Health and Nutrition Services Division

Administrative Review Summary Report

School Food Authority Name	e: Sedona-Oak Creek JUSE	O #9		
CTD: 13-02-09				
Site: West Sedona Elementa	ary School			
Contacts: Tome Swaninger,	Superintendent and Stacy	Saravo, Finance Director		
Review Date: November	-	·		
Exit Conference Date: N				
Review Period: October	•			
Programs Reviewed:	☑ National School Lunch	☑ School Breakfast	☐ Afterschool Snack	
☐ Fresh Fruit & Veget		☐ Special Milk	☐ At-Risk Afterschool Meals	
No. Review Observation		ical Assistance Provided	Required Corrective Action	
		tification and Benefit Issuance	-	
No Findings.				
	Performance Standard 1: I	Meal Counting and Claiming –	Critical Area	
No Findings.				
	Performance Standard 2: M	eal Components & Quantities	– Critical Area	
No Findings.				
	mance Standard 2: Dietary S	Specifications and Nutrient An	alysis – Critical Area	
No Findings.				
	Moal Access & Paimhurs	ement: Certification and Bene	fit Issuance	
No Findings.	Medi Access & Reiliburs	ement. Certification and Bene	nt issuance	
rvo r mamge.				
	Meal Access &	Reimbursement: Verification		
No Findings.				
	Meal Access & Reimbu	ursement: Meal Counting and	Claiming	

1 Daily edit checks are not being conducted appropriately. Specifically, the attendance factor on the daily edit check does not match the attendance factor on the monthly reimbursement claims.

Discussed how to complete daily edit checks using ADE's Daily Edit Check worksheet. The Daily Edit Check Worksheet claim month. Additionally, please provide website at

https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms under the Operational accordion. The Step-by-Step Instruction: How to Complete Daily Edit Checks can be found on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/training under the Online Training Library accordion.

Please provide a completed daily edit check worksheet for the most recently submitted can be found on ADE's website at on ADE's written assurance that the attendance factor reflected on the daily edit check worksheet will be updated annually.

2 The Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD #9 application in CNPWeb does not accurately reflect the point of service and counting and claiming procedures that were observed on site. Specifically, West Sedona Elementary School application indicated Grab N Go breakfast when actually breakfast in the cafeteria is the current practice West Sedona Elementary School.

Discussed requirement to report current procedures to the State agency and the ability to do so through updating applications in CNPWeb and contacting the Health and Nutrition Services Specialist to alert them of the changes.

Please submit a new application in CNPWeb for West Sedona Elementary School that accurately reflects the point of service and counting and claiming procedures. Additionally, please provide written assurance that site and sponsor applications in CNPWeb will accurately reflect the most current practices in operation.

Meal Pattern & Nutritional Quality: Offer Versus Serve

No Findings.

Meal Pattern & Nutritional Quality: Meal Components and Quantities

No Findings.

Resource Management

3 Meals served to teachers, administrators, custodians, and other adults were not priced prices which included a per meal cost so that the adult payment in combination with any per-lunch revenues from other sources designated specifically for the support of adult meals (such as State or local fringe benefit or payroll funds, or funding from voluntary agencies) was sufficient to cover the overall cost of the meal. Specifically, the SFA charged \$1.80 for adult breakfasts when a minimum of \$2.84 should have been charged, and the SFA charged \$3.25 for adult lunches when a Minimum of \$4.84 should have been charged.

Discussed ways to determine adult meal analysis and pricing utilizing the Adult Meal Pricing Tool. For Non-Pricing Sites: pricing adult meals to reflect the amount of reimbursement received for a free meal plus demonstrating the prices have been the per meal value of entitlement and bonus increased to the appropriate level and commodities for non-pricing programs. Referred to Adult Meal Pricing Tool located on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/ under throughout the month of October 2024 has the Financial accordion.

Please provide a written description of the steps which have been taken to increase adult meal prices, including the exact formula used to price adult meals. Additionally, please provide documentation documentation supporting that the difference between adult meal price charged and the required adult meal prices been restored to nonprofit school food service account using non-Federal funds.

4 The SFA did not correctly calculate its nonprogram food ratio and its food cost ratio. Specifically, amounts input for the cost requirements ensures that revenues from of nonprogram food and total revenue were unable to be validated.

Discussed that assessing compliance with revenue from nonprogram foods the sales of nonprogram foods generate at least the same proportion of SFA revenues as they contribute to SFA food costs. Referred to Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool & Calculator located on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/ under

the Financial accordion.

Please complete and submit a revised USDA Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool or 5-Day Reference Period to support compliance with revenue from nonprogram food requirements.

- 5 After assessing compliance with revenue from nonprogram foods, the SFA did not take additional steps to sufficiently increase its nonprogram food prices, add sufficient non-Federal funds to its nonprofit school food service account, or other actions to adequately resolve the problem when its revenue ratio was less than its food cost ratio.
- Discussed that assessing compliance with revenue from nonprogram foods requirements ensures that revenues from the sales of nonprogram foods generate at least the same proportion of SFA revenues as they contribute to SFA food costs. Referred to Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool & Calculator located on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms/ under the Financial accordion.

Please provide documentation to support that nonprogram food prices have been increased to an appropriate level, sufficient non-Federal funds have been added to the nonprofit school food service account, and/or other actions to adequately resolve the problem were taken.

6 Supply chain assistance funds were not used appropriately. Specifically, \$15,618.67 of Supply Chain Assistance funds were used to purchase the following processed/non-domestic foods: potato tots, potato wedges, french fries, waffle fries, turkey breast, processed chicken, chicken fajita meat, beef patties, canned pineapple, and bananas.

Discussed that the attestation statement signed by the SFA detailed that the SFA will \$14,025.87 of remaining Supply Chain use Supply Chain Assistance (SCA) funds only for purchasing unprocessed or minimally processed domestic food products and that SFAs are required to maintain purchasing and other related records for review and audit purposes. Referred to SP 03-2022: Allocation of Supply Chain Assistance (SCA) Funds to Alleviate Supply Chain Disruptions in the School Meal Programs located on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/memos.

Please provide a plan on how the Assistance funds will be spent.

General Program Compliance: Civil Rights

The USDA nondiscrimination statement not printed on appropriate program materials. Specifically, the public media release and the summer food service program material did not include the USDA nondiscrimination statement.

Discussed where to find nondiscrimination statement on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/civilrights and whether long or short statement would be most appropriate.

Please provide an updated public media release and the summer food service program material with the nondiscrimination statement. Additionally, please provide written assurance that all program materials have been updated to include the appropriate nondiscrimination statement.

General Program Compliance: SFA On-Site Monitoring

No Findings.

General Program Compliance: Local Wellness Policy

No Findings.

General Program Compliance: Competitive Food Services

8 Foods and beverages sold to students in grades K-8 during the school day do not meet Smart Snacks Standards. Specifically, the Mini Rice Krispie Treats were not whole grain-rich and the Izze Sparkling Clementine exceeds the 8 fl oz limit for elementary.

Discussed Smart Snacks requirements for grades K-8 including that all foods and beverages sold or served to students du the school day (defined as the midnight school day) minutes after the end of the school day) must meet Smart Snacks.

Discussed Smart Snacks requirements for grades K-8 including that all foods and beverages sold or served to students during the school day (defined as the midnight school day) must meet Smart Snack requirements. The Alliance for a Healthier **Generation Smart Snacks Product** Calculator can be found on ADE's website at https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/forms under the Operational accordion. Smart Snacks Summary Chart and additional resources can be found on USDA's website at https://www.fns.usda.gov/schoolmeals/tools-schools-focusing-smart-snacks. The Online Course: Smart Snacks and Competitive Foods Standards in Arizona can be found on ADE's website at

https://www.azed.gov/hns/nslp/training under the Online Training Library accordion.

Please provide written procedures for assessing whether a product meets Smart Snacks Standards and written assurance that the original Mini Rice Krispie Treats and the Sparkling Clementine Izze will no longer be served or sold during the school day. Additionally, the certificate of completion of Online Course: Smart Snacks and Competitive Foods Standards in Arizona must be submitted.

General Program Compliance: Professional Standards		
No Findings.		
	General Program Compliance: Water	
No Findings.		
	General Program Compliance: Food Safety, Storage and Buy American	
No Findings.		
	General Program Compliance: Reporting and Recordkeeping	
No Findings.		
General Progra	m Compliance: School Breakfast Program and Summer Food Service Program Outreach	
No Findings.		
	Other Federal Program Reviews: Afterschool Snack Program	
Not Applicable.		
	Other Federal Program Reviews: Seamless Summer Option	
Will be reviewed in Summo	<u>- </u>	
	Other Federal Program Reviews: Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	
Not Applicable.		

Other Federal Program Reviews: Special Milk Program				
Not Applicable.				
Other Federal Program Reviews: At-Risk Afterschool Meals				
Not Applicable.				
Comments/Recommend				
	nd organizatio	9 has completed the administrative review for the 2024-2025 school year. Thank on during the review process. It is evident that you are working hard to ensure your elcoming environment!		
To stay on track with N	ISLP requiren	nents, check out the NSLP at a Glance Calendar & Monthly Checklist on our		
_	-	nslp/forms under the Calendars and Checklists tab.		
https://www.azed.gov/hn	•	sed training, and how-to guides can be found on ADE's website at		
nttps://www.azeu.gov/iii	<u>is/risip/trairiirig</u>	ı.		
Fiscal Action Assessed?	•			
☑ No- SBP	☐ Yes- SBP	\$0		
☑ No- NSLP	☐ Yes- NSLP	\$0		
Fiscal Action under \$600) will be disreg	garded.		
Diagon aubmit compositive	antina rooman	and by Fahruary 7, 2025 to Jackie Dadrieuse at Jackie Dadrieuse @azad say. The		
		nse by February 7, 2025 to Jackie Rodriguez at Jackie.Rodriguez@azed.gov. The tterhead and signed by an authorized representative and must indicate that		
corrective actions will be	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Reviewer Signature	Date	 e		
5				

If you disagree with any finding that affects the claim for reimbursement, you may appeal the decision by following the School Food Authority Appeal Procedure for the Administrative Review found under the Reviews Conducted by the State Agency accordion on ADE's National School Lunch and School Breakfast Program webpage.

Tom Horne, Superintendent of Public Instruction
1535 West Jefferson Street • Phoenix Arizona 85007 • www.azed.gov
"We are a service organization committed to raising academic outcomes and empowering parents."

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.