

Each reading program will be scored using a rubric. A response must meet **all** the criteria in each scored area to be included on the K-3 MOWR vetted reading program list. Peer Review will be noted but does not disqualify overall approval.

Study: A Quantitative Synthesis of U.S. Evaluation Date of Study: 2021 Grade Levels of Study: K-4 Core Program's Marketed Name: Success for All: Rationale Rating or Measure Select: Y or N **ESSA Evidence Tier** Tier 1 Treatment and control groups Statistically positive effect No strong negative findings Large study Independent Researchers (Y/N) Υ Υ Established Measure (Y/N) Meta-analysis - Various studies and methods to measure Pre and post test data provided. Qualitative measures of reading performance not created by SFA developers or researchers. Υ 17 US studies Sample Size

| Research Design | Y | Meta analysis of studies from 1989-2020. Random assignment and control groups Studies looked at: • What is the overall effect? |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | Different effects on subgroups? 15 studies included were quasi-experimental and 2 studies were randomized. |
| Outcome | Y- varied outcomes | Overall effects – positive impact on SFA on reading achievements across qualifying studies. P<.05 Some of the larger studies included indicated P values of < .01, especially for low achieving students – positive impact of P value <.01 |
| Effect Size | Υ | Overall positive impact seen across all 17 qualifying studies. Outcomes for low achievers was P value <.01 |
| *Peer Review (Y/N) | Υ | Journal of Research on Education Effectiveness |

MOWR Committee completed review.

MOWR Committee findings:

- -Meets ESSA Evidence Tier 1.
- -Will stay on the MOWR Core Program Vetted List.