

Logic models assist organizations in planning, implementation, and evaluation for a program or programs. Logic models serve as a graphical representation of the various parts of a program(s) and the expected outcomes.

Below are logic models designed by the Educator Recruitment and Retention Task Force focused on the three focus areas of recommendations. These Logic models were designed from a Local Education Agency (LEA) perspective to be used as examples in addressing retention concerns.

**Problem Statement:** Schools and districts in Arizona struggle to retain teachers beyond three years. This turnover has a massive cost for students and school systems.

**Essential Question:** How do we keep educators in schools past 3 years?

Resources/Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Short-Term Outcomes	Mid-Term Outcomes	Long-Term Outcomes
If you have these in	and you do these	then you will deliver	achieve these	shape these attitudes	and achieve these
place	things	this	knowledge changes	and behaviors	systemic outcomes.
LEA Induction w/ Robust	LEA Induction w/ Robust	LEA Induction w/ Robust	LEA Induction w/ Robust	LEA Induction w/ Robust	LEA Induction w/ Robust
Mentoring	Mentoring	Mentoring	Mentoring	Mentoring	Mentoring
<ul> <li>AZ Induction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop a general</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Differentiated</li> </ul>	Common language	<ul> <li>System</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased retention</li> </ul>
Standards	system of	support and	with Induction and	implementation	rates overall,
<ul> <li>AZ Induction</li> </ul>	operations	guidance to	support for	with fidelity	specifically with
Toolkit	<ul> <li>Purposeful match</li> </ul>	teachers new to the	teachers	<ul> <li>Increased positivity</li> </ul>	teachers in years
<ul> <li>Guidance</li> </ul>	of mentors with	profession and new	<ul> <li>Evidence of a</li> </ul>	in culture	0-4
documents and	mentees	to the organization	robust induction	Share positive	Quality Induction &
resources for	<ul> <li>Purposeful</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Support and</li> </ul>	system w/	attributes and	Mentoring
developing and	selection of	guidance to	mentoring	successes of the	programs add to
sustaining	mentors & ongoing	teachers needing	<ul> <li>Promotion of</li> </ul>	program	being an Employer
programs	training	assistance (pathway)	Induction &		of Choice
<ul> <li>Funding knowledge</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Differentiate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Engaging in</li> </ul>	Mentoring program		
<ul> <li>Research-based</li> </ul>	program for	professional	to all stakeholders		
training for	teachers	development based			
mentors	<ul> <li>Provide</li> </ul>	on the needs of			
	onboarding,	teachers			
	induction, and				

ongoing coaching &
mentoring
Complete self-
assessment of the
program against
Induction Standards
and complete
regularly (Min. of 3x
per year)

**Problem Statement:** Schools and districts in Arizona struggle to retain teachers beyond three years. This turnover has a massive cost for students and school systems.

**Essential Question:** How do we keep educators in schools past 3 years?

Resources/Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Short-Term Outcomes	Mid-Term Outcomes	Long-Term Outcomes
Resources/Inputs  If you have these in place  LEA Teacher Voice  • Guidance documents and resources for systems designed to increase Teacher Voice (ADE/Task Force)  • Definitions of	Activities and you do these things  LEA Teacher Voice  • Conduct selfassessments of utilizing the teacher voice  • Target irreplaceable teachers in building leadership and voice	then you will deliver this  LEA Teacher Voice  Systems in place for teachers to have input on practices and initiatives that impact their daily work  Teacher-led committees/work	Short-Term Outcomes achieve these knowledge changes  LEA Teacher Voice  • Knowledge of the definition of Teacher Voice  • Knowledge of the impact of increased teacher voice	Mid-Term Outcomes shape these attitudes and behaviors  LEA Teacher Voice  • Administrators provide transparency in decision-making for site-based issues  • Teachers recognize their input is being heard and utilized	Long-Term Outcomes and achieve these systemic outcomes.  LEA Teacher Voice  • Retaining teachers past 3 years as a result of feeling valued within the workplace  • Improved decision- making as evidenced by data
Teacher Voice from Task Force  Training program of administrators in relational leadership and building cultures of high engagement  Rationale for initiative (why?)	<ul> <li>Develop a system of data gathering that is user/time friendly and captures ALL teacher voices</li> <li>Train site-based leaders</li> </ul>	teams/leadership opportunities  Opportunities for teacher input, feedback, and follow-up (Stay interviews, touchpoints, surveys)		when feasible.	collection in priority areas  • Positive culture and climate within LEAs

Aligning Teach	er • Protocols for		
Voice to LEA	soliciting teacher		
mission and v	sion concerns, questions,		
	and ideas		

**Problem Statement:** Schools and districts in Arizona struggle to retain teachers beyond three years. This turnover has a massive cost for students and school systems.

Essential Question: How do we keep educators in schools past 3 years?

Resources/Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Short-Term Outcomes	Mid-Term Outcomes	Long-Term Outcomes
If you have these in place	and you do these things	then you will deliver this	achieve these knowledge changes	shape these attitudes and behaviors	and achieve these systemic outcomes.
<ul> <li>(ADE Task Force)         Non-negotiable         components of         retention plans</li> <li>(ADE &amp; WestED)         Examples of         retention plans</li> <li>(ADE &amp; WestED)         Resources for         conducting stay         interviews regularly</li> <li>(ADE &amp; WestED)         Working conditions         surveys</li> <li>ADE &amp; WestED)         Staffing models for         unfilled positions         or underprepared         teachers</li> <li>(ADE Task Force)         Promising practices         and action steps of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop a retention plan</li> <li>Use the Retention Priority Template to establish needed components</li> <li>Follow a model/example retention plan as a "starter" kit to meet your LEA needs</li> <li>Align the retention plan with the LEA strategic plan</li> <li>Utilize a common language/understan ding of the process and desired outcomes</li> <li>Select a leadership team of stakeholders from</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Deliver plan to stakeholders</li> <li>Ask for feedback and potential edits from stakeholders</li> <li>Reconvene to discuss feedback and edits</li> <li>Make the final retention plan available to develop transparency and awareness of the plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A communicated plan shares transparency and makes the plan real and formidable</li> <li>Understanding of the why before the how to develop stakeholder support</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>More transparency and involvement to lower attrition rates</li> <li>Creates new opportunities for varied sources of feedback and inclusion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LEA Retention Plans</li> <li>LEA retention rate improves as a result of having a Retention Plan</li> <li>Codify the retention plan for ongoing updates and changes to maintain focus.</li> </ul>

an effective Retention Plan • (ADE Task Force)	as many different areas as possible for greater depth		
Guidance documents on developing	and insight		
retention plans			

## Source of Information:

• ERR Task Force Members 2023-2024